

E-Filed on 7/29/09

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996
Facsimile (702) 949-8321
Telephone (702) 949-8320

Rob Charles NV State Bar No. 006593
Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com
John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024
Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com
Marvin Ruth NV State Bar No. 10979
Email: mruth@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re:

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE
COMPANY,

USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS,
LLC,¹

USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST
DEED FUND, LLC,

USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED
FUND, LLC,²

USA SECURITIES, LLC,³
Debtors.

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR¹
Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR²
Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR³

CHAPTER 11

Jointly Administered Under Case No.
BK-S-06-10725 LBR

**FIRST OMNIBUS OBJECTION OF
USACM TRUST TO PROOFS OF
CLAIM BASED UPON THE
INVESTMENT IN THE LA
HACIENDA ESTATE, LLC LOAN**

Date of Hearing: September 29, 2009
Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m.

Affects:

- ☐ All Debtors
☒ USA Commercial Mortgage Company
☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
☐ USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
☐ USA Securities, LLC

The USACM Liquidating Trust (the "USACM Trust") moves this Court, pursuant to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), for an order disallowing the Proofs of Claim filed by individual investors ("Direct Lenders")

¹ This bankruptcy case was closed on September 23, 2008.

² This bankruptcy case was closed on October 12, 2007.

³ This bankruptcy case was closed on December 21, 2007.

1 against USA Commercial Mortgage Company (“USACM”) based upon an investment in
2 the La Hacienda Estate, LLC Loan (the “Hacienda Estate Loan”). This Objection is
3 supported by the Court’s record and the Declaration of Edward M. Burr in Support of
4 Omnibus Objections to Proofs of Claim Based Upon the Investment in the La Hacienda
5 Estate, LLC Loan filed with the Court today (the “Burr Decl.”).

6 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

7 **I. BACKGROUND**

8 1. On April 13, 2006 (“Petition Date”), USA Commercial Mortgage Company
9 (“USACM”), USA Securities, LLC, USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC, USA Capital
10 Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC, and USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
11 (collectively, the “Debtors”), filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the
12 Bankruptcy Code. Debtors continued to operate their businesses, if any, as debtors-in-
13 possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtors’ post-
14 petition management of the Debtors was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of
15 Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC (“Mesirow”), who served as the Chief
16 Restructuring Officer.

17 2. USACM is a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the
18 business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans
19 primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account.

20 3. That business included the solicitation of individual investors to purchase
21 fractional interest in loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors,
22 totaling approximately 3,600 as of the Petition Date, are referred to as “Direct Lenders” in
23 USACM’s bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

24 4. On September 14, 2006, the Court entered its Order Setting Deadline to File
25 Proofs of Claim and Proofs of Interest [Docket No. 1280] (the “Bar Date Order”). The
26

1 Bar Date Order established 5:00 p.m., prevailing Pacific Time, on November 13, 2006, as
2 the deadline (“Bar Date”) for creditors to file proof of claims.

3 5. On September 25, 2006, Debtors served a copy of the Bar Date Order on
4 their service lists [Docket No. 1358]. All Creditors were served with a copy of the Bar
5 Date order as well [Docket No. 1358].

6 6. On November 6, 2006, a stipulation was filed and an order entered extending
7 the Bar Date for Direct Lenders only to file proofs of claim until January 13, 2007 [Docket
8 No. 1729].

9 7. On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the “Debtors’
10 Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization” as Modified Herein [Docket No.
11 2376] (the “Confirmation Order”).

12 8. As part of the Plan, USACM sold the servicing rights to most of the loans it
13 serviced, including the Hacienda Estate Loan, to Compass Financial Partners, LLC. The
14 sale to Compass Financial Partners closed on February 16, 2007.

15 9. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the successor to USACM with respect
16 to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of Claims.

17 10. Upon information and belief, Silar Advisors foreclosed on its loan(s) to
18 Compass Financial Partners in September 2008 and alleges that it has become the
19 successor servicer to the Hacienda Estate Loan and the Direct Lenders thereto.

20 11. Under the Plan, unsecured claims of Direct Lenders against USACM are
21 classified in Class A-5. Allowed Unsecured Claims “shall receive a beneficial interest in
22 the USACM Trust, and on account of their Allowed Claim may receive a Pro Rata Share
23 of the assets of the USACM Trust after satisfaction of all Allowed unclassified Claims,
24 Allowed Class A-1, A-2, and A-3 Claims, and all post-Effective Date fees, costs, and
25 expenses of implementation of the USACM Plan for USACM and the USACM Trust.”
26

1 12. The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was
2 March 12, 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee.

3 13. **Exhibit A** attached, lists Proofs of Claim filed by Direct Lenders that appear
4 to be based entirely upon an investment in the Hacienda Estate Loan. (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.)
5 **Exhibit A** identifies the Proof of Claim number, the claimant, the claimant's address, the
6 total amount of the claim and the total amount of the claim that appears to be related to an
7 investment in the Hacienda Estate Loan based upon the information provided by the
8 claimant. (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.) The claims listed in **Exhibit A** are referred to hereafter as
9 the Hacienda Estate Claims.

10 **II. JURISDICTION**

11 14. The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334
12 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core
13 proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

14 15. The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502
15 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

16 **III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY**

17 16. Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been
18 filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the
19 proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the
20 Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is "unenforceable
21 against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such
22 claim is contingent or unmatured." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b).

23 17. The USACM Trust is entitled to object to proofs of claim under 11 U.S.C.
24 § 502(a). This objection is timely under the confirmed Plan, as the deadline for such
25 objections has been extended to October 7, 2009, by this Court's orders.
26

18. A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1995), *aff'd*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996). The ultimate burden of proof as to the validity of a proof of claim “remains at all times upon the claimant.” *Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc. (In re Lundell)*, 223 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir. 2000).

IV. THE HACIENDA ESTATE LOAN DIRECT LENDERS

19. On June 20, 2005, La Hacienda Estate, LLC made and delivered to various lenders, including the Direct Lenders identified in Exhibit A, a Promissory Note, in an amount of \$2,300,000 (the “Note”). Additional amounts were lent by Direct Lenders and the ultimate loan amount appears to be \$6,225,000.

20. The Hacienda Estate Loan is secured by a first position Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing (“Deed of Trust”) on real property and improvements thereon, as described in the Deed of Trust. The Deed of Trust was recorded on November 23, 2004 at Document No. 3132839 in the Official Records of the Washoe County Recorder, Nevada.

21. Upon information and belief, the Hacienda Estate Loan was fully secured at the time of the loan, was fully secured at the time USACM’s servicing rights were sold to Compass Partners, and was fully secured at all times in between.

22. The property securing the Hacienda Estate Loan had an approximate value of \$21,600,000 as of November 18, 2005 based on an appraisal by John S. Wright & Associates Real Estate Appraisals and Consulting dated January 27, 2006.

23. The Hacienda Estate Loan defaulted on November 24, 2006.

1 **V. THE OBJECTIONS**

2 24. The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim as the Direct Lenders have not been
3 damaged by USACM. Upon information and belief, the Direct Lenders are owed
4 \$117,061 in accrued interest and the principal balance of the loan has been paid off.
5 Thus, even assuming the Direct Lenders are entitled to the above amount, the Hacienda
6 Estate Loan appears to have been fully secured at the time USACM sold its servicing
7 rights, fully secured at the time the Hacienda Estate Loan was entered into, and fully
8 secured now.

9 25. The Trust objects to the Direct Lenders' claims to the extent they are based
10 on repaid principal.

11 26. The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim as USACM has not breached the
12 servicing contract. USACM was under no duty to foreclose on the property securing the
13 Hacienda Estate Loan though a Notice of Default was sent to the Borrower and guarantors
14 during the pendency of the USACM bankruptcy and prior to the sale of the servicing
15 rights to Compass Financial Partners. To the extent the property's value has dropped since
16 USACM sold the servicing rights pursuant to the Plan of Reorganization, USACM is no
17 longer a party to any servicing contract and consequently has no rights, duties, or
18 obligations thereunder.

19 27. Upon information and belief, the Direct Lenders may have been paid back
20 on their investment or may soon be paid back. Thus, the Trust objects to the claims to the
21 extent it determines through discovery that the Direct Lenders have been paid in full or in
22 part.

23 28. Further, USACM did not guarantee the Direct Lenders' investment in the
24 Hacienda Estate Loan. The Direct Lenders took a known risk by investing. Accordingly,
25 USACM is not liable for the Borrower's default or any decrease in the value of the
26

1 collateral caused by the downturn in the real estate market after the Direct Lenders made
2 their respective investments.

3 **VI. CONCLUSION**

4 The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court disallow the claims listed in
5 **Exhibit A** based upon a Direct Lender investment in the Hacienda Estate Loan. The
6 USACM Trust also requests such other and further relief as is just and proper.

7
8 Dated: July 29, 2009.

9 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

10
11 By /s/ Marvin Ruth (#10979)

12 Rob Charles, NV 6593

13 John Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)

14 Marvin Ruth, NV 10979

15 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600

16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

17 Telephone: (702) 949-8200

18 Facsimile: (702) 949-8398

19 E-mail: mruth@lrlaw.com

20 *Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust*

21
22 Copy of the foregoing and pertinent
23 portion of Exhibit A mailed by first
24 class postage prepaid U.S. Mail on
25 July 29, 2009 to all parties listed on
26 Exhibit A attached.

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

22 /s/ Carrie Lawrence

23 Carrie Lawrence